

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,920	08/04/2003	Rami Altabeb	1204RAM-US 4948		
7590 06/09/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Dekel Patent Ltd.			MAI, HUY KIM		
Beit HaRofim					
Room 27			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
18 Menuha VeNahala Street			2873		
Rehovot,					
ISRAEL			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_			
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/632,920	ALTABEB, RAMI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Huy K. Mai	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the  - If the period for reply specified above is less than  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three is earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply imum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, nonths after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>AUG.</u>	<u>4, 2003</u> .					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in con	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-12</u> is/are reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	cluding the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the Inte	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		<b>∧</b> □	·· (DTO .440)				
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (P10-892)</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re</li> </ul>	view (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed on Aug. 4, 2003 is acceptable.

## Specification

2. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Day (5,261,124).

The limitations in claims 1-5,9 are shown in Day's Figs. 1-3, columns 1-2. Day discloses an eyeglass holder comprising: a clip 1 attachable to a visor 41 of a headwear; a fastening element 31 extending from said clip, said fastening element being adapted to fixedly hold a pair of eyeglasses 3.

Regarding claims 2,3, Day discloses said fastening element 31 is slidable and pivotable with respect to said clip 1.

Regarding claims 4,5, Day discloses an intermediate attachment element 2

Regarding claim 9, Day discloses friction enhancers 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,920

Art Unit: 2873

5. Claims 1,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Gatchalian (5,412,812).

The limitations in claims 1,10,11 are shown in Gatchalian's Figs. 1-3, columns 3-4. Gatchalian

discloses a clip attachable to a visor comprising an attachable mounting stud 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are suc0h that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

suc0h that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatchalian.

Absence in showing the new or unobvious results and the reasons why a flag attached to

the mounting stud, it would have been an obvious engineering choice to form a flag to attach to

the mounting stud.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

9. Claims 7 and 8 are objected as being dependent upon the above objected claim.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The limitations of "said gripping element being slidably mounted on said fastening element," in

combination with the claimed subject matter are not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art or

any combination thereof. These limitations could be allowable over the prior art of record.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/632,920

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2873

HKM/

May 31, 2004